



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

51

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/098,698

03/15/2002

Royce D. Jordan JR.

010563

5128

26285

7590

09/23/2004

KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART LLP
535 SMITHFIELD STREET
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222

EXAMINER

DANIEL JR, WILLIE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2686

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/098,698

Applicant(s)

JORDAN, ROYCE D.

Examiner

Willie J. Daniel, Jr.

Art Unit

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 May 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 19 September 2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and is being considered by the examiner.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It was not executed in accordance with either 37 CFR 1.66 or 1.68.

Regarding oath/declaration, the applicant failed to provide an oath/declaration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hein-Magnussen et al. (hereinafter Hein-Magnussen) (US 2004/0132407 A1).

Regarding Claim 1, Hein-Magnussen discloses a communications (see pg. 5, [0128]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b) method, comprising:

receiving a request for a telecommunications service from a communication terminal (200, 200') which reads on the claimed "wireless client" (see pg. 6, [0142-0145]; pg. 7, [0152-0153, 0156]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a "ref. 302", 3b), where the user (201) of communication terminal (200) establishes a connection with user (201') of communication terminal (200'); ~~terminal (200) establishes a connection with user (201') of communication terminal (200')~~

providing a communication unit (202) which reads on the claimed "local exchange point of presence" to the wireless client (200) in response to the request, the local exchange point of presence (202) based on the geographic location of the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0132, 0137-0138, 0148], Figs. 2a-c), where the server and router keeps track of where the communication terminals (200) are currently located to determine the nearest accessible communication unit (202) for access; and

providing the telecommunications service to the wireless client (200) through the local exchange point of presence (202) (see pg. 6, [0132-0134, 0139-0140]; pg. 7, [0152]; Figs. 2a-b).

Regarding Claim 2, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein the telecommunications service comprises at least one of a messaging service, an information service, a paging service, a voicemail service, a facsimile service, an interactive voice response service, and a text-to-speech service (see pg. 6, [0139-0140]; pg. 7, [0154]; pg. 4, [0087]; Figs. 3a "ref. 303, 312", 3b "ref. 303, 312").

Regarding Claim 3, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein the wireless client (200) comprises at least one of a mobile telephone, a personal digital assistant, and an interactive pager (see pg. 6, [0142, 0134]; pg. 7, [0152]; Figs. 22a-b), where the communication is established using terminals such as a computer, mobile telephone, and/or PDA.

Regarding Claim 7, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein providing the local exchange point of presence (202, 230) to the wireless client (200) comprises detecting a geographic location of the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0135-0138, 0143, 0148]; Figs. 2a-b), where the server and router keeps track of where the communication terminals (200) are currently located to determine the nearest accessible communication unit (202) for access.

Regarding Claim 8, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communication method of claim 7, wherein the geographic location of the wireless client (200) is detected during call set-up (see pg. 6, [0135-0138, 0142-0143, 0148]; pg. 7, [0153]; Figs. 2a-b), where the server and

router keeps track of where the communication terminals (200) are currently located to determine the nearest accessible communication unit (202) for access.

Regarding Claim 9, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein providing the local exchange point of presence (202, 230) to the wireless client (200) comprises referencing a database (210) which reads on the claimed "lookup table" associating geographic locations with local contact information (see pg. 6, [0135-0138, 0142-0144]; Figs. 2a-b).

Regarding Claim 10, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein providing the local exchange point of presence (202) comprises providing a local telephone number to the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0136, 0147-0148]; pg. 2, [0033]; pg. 3, [0052]), where the terminal (200) can call terminal (200') via a local network or internet using an IP address in which a phone number would be inherent for the IP address to be converted to or associated with a phone number.

Regarding Claim 11, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 10, wherein the local telephone number includes an exchange (202) corresponding to the geographic location of the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0136-0137, 0142-0143, 0147-0148]; pg. 2, [0033]; pg. 3, [0052]), where the terminal's location is tracked by the server which provides connection via the nearest unit.

Regarding Claim 12, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein providing the local exchange point of presence (202, 230) comprises providing a local IP address to the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0136, 0142-0144]).

Regarding Claim 13, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein providing the telecommunications service comprises routing messages from a local gateway (202) over an internet (220) which reads on the claimed "intermediate network" to a remote gateway (202', 230), thereby avoiding long distance charges (see pg. 6, [0142-0148]; pg. 1, [0005-0006]; Figs. 2a-b).

Regarding Claim 14, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 14, wherein the intermediate network (220) comprises at least one of the Internet (220), the World Wide Web (220), and a telephone network (220) (see pg. 6, [0147]; pg. 1, [0005-0006]).

Regarding Claim 15, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein providing the telecommunications service comprises routing messages from a local gateway wireless client (202) through at least one router (212) which reads on the claimed "top node" to a remote gateway (230), thereby avoiding long distance charges (see pg. 6, [0147, 0137]; pg. 1, [0005-0006]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b).

Regarding Claim 16, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, wherein providing the telecommunications service comprises communicating with the wireless client (200) from a first gateway (202) local to the geographic location of the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0132, 0136-0137, 0142-0144]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b).

Regarding Claim 17, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communication method of claim 16, further comprising:

communicating with a second wireless client (200') from a second gateway (202', 230) local to the geographic location of the second wireless client (200'), the first (202)

and second (230) gateways being geographically remote from each other (see pg. 6, [0132, 0136-0137, 0142-0144, 0148]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b); and

routing messages from the first gateway (202) to the second gateway (202') through at least one of an intermediate network (220) and a top node (212), thereby avoiding long distance charges (see pg. 6, [0132, 0135-0138, 0142-0144, 0147-0148]; pg. 1, [0005-0006; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b).

Regarding Claim 18, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communication method of claim 16, further comprising:

communicating with a service servers (210) which reads on the claimed "server system" from a second gateway (202', 230) local to the geographic location of the server system (210), the first (202) and second (202') gateways being geographically remote from each other (see pg. 6, [0132, 0136-0137, 0142-0144, 0148]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b); and

routing messages from the first gateway (202) to the second gateway (202') through at least one of an intermediate network (220) and a top node (212), thereby avoiding long distance charges (see pg. 6, [0132, 0135-0138, 0142-0144, 0147-0148]; pg. 1, [0005-0006]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b).

Regarding Claim 19, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 18, wherein the requested telecommunications service is provided by the server system (210) (see pg. 6, [0135]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b).

Regarding Claim 20, Hein-Magnussen discloses a communications apparatus comprising a gateway (202) (see pg. 5, [0128]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b) configured to:

receive a request for a telecommunications service from a wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0142-0145]; pg. 7, [0152-0153, 0156]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a "ref. 302", 3b), where the user (201) of communication terminal (200) establishes a connection with user (201') of communication terminal (200');

provide a local exchange point of presence to the wireless client (200) in response to the request, the local exchange point of presence (202) based on the geographic location of the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0132, 0137-0138, 0148]; Figs. 2a-c), where the server and router keeps track of where the communication terminals (200) are currently located to determine the nearest accessible communication unit (202) for access; and

provide the telecommunications service to the wireless client (200) through the local exchange point of presence (202) (see pg. 6, [0132-0134, 0139-0140]; pg. 7, [0152]; Figs. 2a-b).

Regarding Claim 21, Hein-Magnussen discloses a communications apparatus (see pg. 5, [0128]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b), comprising:

means (202) for receiving a request for a telecommunications service from a wireless client (200)(see pg. 6, [0142-0145]; pg. 7, [0152-0153, 0156]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a "ref. 302", 3b), where the user (201) of communication terminal (200) establishes a connection with user (201') of communication terminal (200');

means (220) for providing a local exchange point of presence (202) to the wireless client (200) in response to the request, the local exchange point of presence (202) based on the geographic location of the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0132, 0137-0138, 0148]; Figs. 2a-c), where the server and router keeps track of where the communication

terminals (200) are currently located to determine the nearest accessible communication unit (202) for access; and

means (212) for providing the telecommunications service to the wireless client (200) through the local exchange point of presence (202) (see pg. 6, [0132-0134, 0139-0140]; pg. 7, [0152]; Figs. 2a-b).

Regarding Claim 22, Hein-Magnussen discloses a computer program stored on a computer-readable medium (see pg. 4, [0104-0105]), the computer program comprising instructions to:

receive a request for a telecommunications service from a wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0142-0145]; pg. 7, [0152-0153, 0156]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a "ref. 302", 3b), where the user (201) of communication terminal (200) establishes a connection with user (201') of communication terminal (200');

provide a local exchange point of presence (202) to the wireless client (200) in response to the request, the local exchange point of presence (202) based on the geographic location of the wireless client (200) (see pg. 6, [0132, 0137-0138, 0148]; Figs. 2a-c), where the server and router keeps track of where the communication terminals (200) are currently located to determine the nearest accessible communication unit (202) for access; and

provide the telecommunications service to the wireless client (200) through the local exchange point of presence (202) (see pg. 6, [0132-0134, 0139-0140]; pg. 7, [0152]; Figs. 2a-b).

Regarding Claim 23, Hein-Magnussen discloses the computer program of claim 22, wherein the computer-readable medium comprises at least one of a disk, a client device, a network device, and a propagated signal (see pg. 6, [0132, 0143]; pg. 4, [0104-0105]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hein-Magnussen et al. (hereinafter Hein-Magnussen) (US 2004/0132407 A1) in view of Chen et al. (hereinafter) (US 2003/0054810 A1).

Regarding Claim 4, Hein-Magnussen discloses the communications method of claim 1, further comprising establishing a connection to the wireless client (200) and providing a menu of telecommunications services (see pg. 6, [0139,0142-0143,0140]; Figs. 3a), where the server offers different services to the portable communication terminal in which a menu would be obvious. Hein-Magnussen fails to disclose having the feature services capable of being displayed by the wireless client. However, the examiner maintains that the feature services capable of being displayed by the wireless client was well known in the art, as taught by Chen.

In the same field of endeavor, Chen discloses the feature services capable of being displayed by the cell phone (204a) which reads on the claimed “wireless client” (see pg. 3, [0049]; pg. 6, [0075-0078] pg. 8, [0116-0119]; Figs. 1, 11B, 15, 18A-C), where the screenshots or applet displays the services on the screen of the mobile devices (204a).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hein-Magnussen and Chen to have the feature services capable of being displayed by the wireless client, in order to have interaction with a user of a mobile device with limited bandwidth and screen space (see pg. 6, [0075]), as taught by Chen.

Regarding Claim 6, Hein-Magnussen discloses wherein receiving the request for telecommunications service comprises receiving a user (201) input (see pg. 6, [0142-0143; Fig. 3a “ref. 302”]). Hein-Magnussen fails to disclose having the feature input through a graphical user interface displayed on the wireless client. However, the examiner maintains that the feature input through a graphical user interface displayed on the wireless client was well known in the art, as taught by Chen.

Chen further discloses the feature input through a applet or screenshot (600) which reads on the claimed “graphical user interface” displayed on the wireless client (204a) (see pg. 3, [0049]; pg. 6, [0075-0078] pg. 8, [0116-0119]; Figs. 1, 11B, 15, 18A-C), where the user has interaction with the screenshots or applet which displays the services on the screen of the mobile devices (204a).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hein-Magnussen and Chen to have the

Art Unit: 2686

feature input through a graphical user interface displayed on the wireless client, in order to have interaction with a user of a mobile device with limited bandwidth and screen space (see pg. 6, [0075]), as taught by Chen.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hein-Magnussen et al. (hereinafter Hein-Magnussen) (US 2004/0132407 A1) in view of Chen et al. (hereinafter) (US 2003/0054810 A1) as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Mousseau et al. (hereinafter Mousseau) (US 5,559,800).

Regarding Claim 5, the combination of Hein-Magnussen and Chen discloses the communications method of claim 4, wherein establishing a connection to the wireless device (200) comprises exchanging user information (see pg. 6, [0143-0145]; Figs. 2a-b, 3a-b), where the terminal transmit and forward information such as IP address and alias over a wireless connection. The combination of Hein-Magnussen and Chen fails to disclose having the feature exchanging information over a control channel. However, the examiner maintains that the feature exchanging information over a control channel was well known in the art, as taught by Mousseau.

In the same field of endeavor, Mousseau discloses the feature exchanging information over a control channel (see col. 6, lines 12-24; Fig. 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hein-Magnussen and Chen with Mousseau to have the feature exchanging information over a control channel, in order for the DTE (10) user to send data to the gateway (see col. 6, lines 15-19), as taught by Mousseau.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willie J. Daniel, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-8636. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WJD,JR
20 September 2004

Marsha D Banks-Harold
MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600